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Digest: It is not professionally improper for a lawyer to send letters to persons who are not his clients offering to conduct seminars upon legal subjects currently of concern to the addressees.

Ref: Rule 2-103; Rule 2-104.
EC 2-9.
ISBA Opinions 623 and 648.

QUESTION

May a lawyer for school districts write letters to representatives of other school districts (not clients of the lawyer) stating that he has observed certain very complex legal issues which have been arising in the area of school law, offering to conduct seminars upon the current legal problems attendant to those issues, and soliciting expressions of the addressees' interest in
attending the proposed seminars?

**OPINION**

The private communication addressed to the non-client school districts does not recommend or solicit employment of the lawyer who offers to conduct the seminars and hence does not violate Rule 2-103 of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

Even though the proposed private communication does not directly advertise the merits of the lawyer who proposes to conduct the seminars and does not directly solicit legal business, it is appropriate to determine its professional propriety as if it did constitute advertising and solicitation of legal business.

*Ohralik v. Ohio State Bar Association*, 436 U.S. 447 (1978), held that a lawyer's solicitation of business through direct, in-person communication with prospective clients may constitutionally subject a lawyer to professional discipline if the communication is made under circumstances likely to impose dangers which a State has the right to prevent.

The seminar, of course, must be conducted in a dignified manner and must not, to any extent, be based upon or constitute fraud, undue influence, intimidation, overreaching, or vexatious conduct. See *Rhoades v. Norfolk and Western Ry. Company*, 78 Ill.2d 217 (1979), and *In re Marshall I. Teichner*, 75 Ill.2d 88 (1979).

However, the Committee cautions that the acceptance of employment arising out of contacts initiated through such seminars may be accepted only if there is compliance with Rules 2-103 and 2-104. The Committee is unable to be more responsive because of the inartful manner in which the Rules referred to have been drafted.
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