Illinois State Bar Association Judicial Advisory Poll 2023 Reappointment of Associate Judges

Associate Judge Reappointment

Associate judges are subject to reappointment every four years. The reappointment is accomplished through the casting of secret ballots by circuit court judges in their circuit. Successful candidates receive votes that tally three-fifths (60%) or greater in favor of their reappointment. Voting concludes prior to the beginning of the new associate judge terms. The new term of office for each reappointed associate judge will begin on July 1, 2023, and terminate on June 30, 2024.

Illinois State Bar Association Judicial Advisory Polls

This poll of lawyers is conducted by the Illinois State Bar Association (ISBA). The Illinois State Bar Association Judicial Advisory Poll is conducted electronically and by mail, ISBA attorneys in each judicial circuit (except Cook County) are sent a ballot containing the names of every associate judge seeking reappointment in their circuit. Illinois licensed attorneys who are not members of ISBA may request a ballot. Attorneys are asked to respond only if they have sufficient knowledge about the associate judge's qualifications for judicial office to give a fair, informed opinion. Associate judges are rated "recommended" or "not recommended" based on whether respondents agree that the associate judge "meets acceptable requirements for the office." Associate judges must receive at least 65% "yes" responses to that question in order to be rated as "Recommended". Percentage is based on "yes" responses to each question; "no opinion" responses are not included *Opinions expressed in the poll are of those attorneys who chose to respond and do not reflect the opinion of the Illinois State Bar Association or the opinion of all attorneys.*

Although the decision on associate judge reappointment is made by circuit judges, the Illinois State Bar Association is releasing the poll results to the public, because all judges are public officials and the opinions of their professional colleagues about their performance is of public interest.

For additional information on how Judicial Advisory Polls work, please refer to page 20.

To locate associate judges in your circuit, please refer to the county conversion chart on Page 21.

First Judicial Circuit

Alexander, Jackson, Johnson, Massac, Pope, Pulaski, Saline, Union and Williamson counties

	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Tyler R. Edmonds	72	R	94.29	97.10	94.20	95.59	98.57	96.97	100	97.01
Michael A. Fiello	79	R	94.94	98.70	93.42	92.41	94.94	97.33	100	97.40
Jeffrey A. Goffinet	80	R	86.84	88.75	83.12	96.20	75.00	96.15	94.67	90.41
Todd D. Lambert	68	R	92.31	94.03	92.54	95.59	95.52	91.18	98.53	96.97
Michelle M. Schafer	59	R	96.55	94.83	93.22	96.61	93.22	96.61	98.25	96.49
Ella L. Travelstead	41	R	92.50	100	97.50	85.00	97.50	97.56	100	97.56

Second Judicial Circ	<u>cuit</u>	Crawford, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jefferson, Lawrence, Richland, Wabash, Wayne and White counties										
	Number of Responses	Recommended Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity		
Jerry Crisel	74	R	98.63	97.30	95.89	100	97.30	100	100	97.14		
Thomas Dinn	62	R	96.67	96.77	93.44	100	91.80	100	100	100		
Kimbara Harrell	63	R	83.87	92.06	85.48	80.33	88.71	88.52	93.22	95.00		
Sonja Ligon	59	R	91.38	96.61	98.28	94.92	94.92	98.28	94.92	91.53		
Evan Owens	61	R	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Mark Shaner	51	R	100	100	97.96	100	100	100	100	100		

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Third Judicial Circuit

Bond and Madison counties

	Number of Responses	Recommended Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Veronica L. Armouti	89	R	82.35	91.46	86.90	76.54	89.29	79.52	94.87	90.36
Andrew K. Carruthers	119	R	91.38	90.43	85.96	92.31	90.60	93.16	97.37	88.60
Angela P. Donohoo	98	R	93.81	92.78	90.72	94.85	92.71	95.79	100	93.75
Ronald J. Foster	130	R	96.12	95.90	95.38	96.88	97.67	96.09	98.44	96.09
Janet R. Heflin	92	R	79.55	86.21	80.22	87.78	76.67	73.03	88.51	88.64
A. Ryan Jumper	130	R	92.25	92.31	89.15	93.75	92.25	90.70	96.85	94.49
Martin J. Mengarelli	122	R	90.83	92.44	88.43	88.43	86.55	90.75	94.87	94.07
Ronald S. Motil	119	R	96.58	98.29	94.87	96.58	96.64	96.64	100	95.69
Emily J. Nielsen	65	R	84.62	85.94	87.10	85.94	81.25	91.67	98.41	85.94
Neil T. Schroeder	89	R	98.86	96.63	95.45	98.88	94.38	98.86	100	96.59
Maureen D. Schuette	94	R	92.47	93.55	91.40	93.55	93.48	94.62	95.56	93.55
Ronald R. Slemer	102	R	93.00	94.00	92.16	96.08	81.44	94.12	94.74	90.91
Justin S. Zimmerman	61	R	74.14	79.66	74.14	74.58	77.59	87.50	94.92	80.00

Fourth Judicial Circuit

Christian, Clay, Clinton, Effingham, Fayette, Jasper, Marion, Montgomery and Shelby counties

Number of Responses	Recommended Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
72	R	90.00	95.59	88.24	92.86	90.00	97.06	98.57	91.18
34	R	90.63	100	100	87.88	100	93.75	96.97	100
54	R	73.08	82.69	69.23	78.85	75.00	90.00	98.00	76.60
63	R	98.41	100	96.67	95.08	96.83	100	100	98.36
70	R	94.29	94.29	94.29	97.14	92.65	95.65	100	97.06
58	R	98.28	98.25	98.25	98.25	100	100	100	98.21
67	R	97.01	97.01	98.51	95.45	97.01	98.51	96.88	98.48
	72 34 54 63 70 58	72 R 34 R 54 R 63 R 70 R 58 R	72 R 90.00 34 R 90.63 54 R 73.08 63 R 98.41 70 R 94.29 58 R 98.28	72R90.0095.5934R90.6310054R73.0882.6963R98.4110070R94.2994.2958R98.2898.25	72R90.0095.5988.2434R90.6310010054R73.0882.6969.2363R98.4110096.6770R94.2994.2994.2958R98.2898.2598.25	72R90.0095.5988.2492.8634R90.6310010087.8854R73.0882.6969.2378.8563R98.4110096.6795.0870R94.2994.2994.2997.1458R98.2898.2598.2598.25	72R90.0095.5988.2492.8690.0034R90.6310010087.8810054R73.0882.6969.2378.8575.0063R98.4110096.6795.0896.8370R94.2994.2994.2997.1492.6558R98.2898.2598.2598.25100	72R90.0095.5988.2492.8690.0097.0634R90.6310010087.8810093.7554R73.0882.6969.2378.8575.0090.0063R98.4110096.6795.0896.8310070R94.2994.2997.1492.6595.6558R98.2898.2598.2598.25100100	72R90.0095.5988.2492.8690.0097.0698.5734R90.6310010087.8810093.7596.9754R73.0882.6969.2378.8575.0090.0098.0063R98.4110096.6795.0896.8310010070R94.2994.2997.1492.6595.6510058R98.2898.2598.2598.25100100100

Fifth Judicial Circuit Clark, Coles, Cumberland, Edgar and Vermilion counties												
	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity		
Derek J Girton	*											
Mark S. Goodwin	*											
David W. Lewis	37	R	97.30	100	97.29	97.30	97.30	100	100	100		
Charles D. Mockbee IV	*											
Karen E. Wall	35	R	94.12	100	94.12	91.43	97.14	100	100	100		

Sixth Judicial Circuit

Champaign, DeWitt, Douglas, Macon, Moultrie and Piatt counties

Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
112	R	99.10	100	98.21	98.21	100	98.20	100	97.20
52	R	94.12	98.04	94.12	92.00	98.04	94.00	98.04	95.92
54	R	92.59	94.44	88.68	100	84.91	100	94.34	88.89
42	R	97.56	100	95.12	95.12	100	100	95.12	97.50
94	R	98.91	98.92	94.57	97.85	96.77	97.80	100	96.59
58	R	98.25	96.55	96.49	96.49	96.49	96.55	98.28	96.55
97	R	86.32	97.89	91.58	82.80	85.26	79.79	97.87	92.55
52	R	98.04	98.08	96.15	98.08	98.08	94.12	98.08	96.15
65	R	98.44	98.44	98.46	98.41	96.88	98.41	100	98.44
104	R	90.10	97.06	87.25	92.31	91.26	87.25	98.02	96.00
86	R	96.47	97.67	95.29	96.51	95.35	95.35	100	96.43
	112 52 54 42 94 58 97 52 65 104	112 R 52 R 54 R 42 R 94 R 58 R 97 R 52 R 65 R 104 R	112R99.1052R94.1254R92.5942R97.5694R98.9158R98.2597R86.3252R98.0465R98.44104R90.10	112R99.1010052R94.1298.0454R92.5994.4442R97.5610094R98.9198.9258R98.2596.5597R86.3297.8952R98.0498.0865R98.4498.44104R90.1097.06	112R99.1010098.2152R94.1298.0494.1254R92.5994.4488.6842R97.5610095.1294R98.9198.9294.5758R98.2596.5596.4997R86.3297.8991.5852R98.0498.0896.1565R98.4498.4498.46104R90.1097.0687.25	112R99.1010098.2198.2152R94.1298.0494.1292.0054R92.5994.4488.6810042R97.5610095.1295.1294R98.9198.9294.5797.8558R98.2596.5596.4996.4997R86.3297.8991.5882.8052R98.0498.0896.1598.0865R98.4498.4498.4698.41104R90.1097.0687.2592.31	112R99.1010098.2198.2110052R94.1298.0494.1292.0098.0454R92.5994.4488.6810084.9142R97.5610095.1295.1210094R98.9198.9294.5797.8596.7758R98.2596.5596.4996.4996.4997R86.3297.8991.5882.8085.2652R98.0498.0896.1598.0898.0865R98.4498.4498.4698.4196.88104R90.1097.0687.2592.3191.26	112R99.1010098.2198.2110098.2052R94.1298.0494.1292.0098.0494.0054R92.5994.4488.6810084.9110042R97.5610095.1295.1210010094R98.9198.9294.5797.8596.7797.8058R98.2596.5596.4996.4996.4996.5597R86.3297.8991.5882.8085.2679.7952R98.0498.0896.1598.0898.0894.1265R98.4498.4498.4698.4196.8898.41104R90.1097.0687.2592.3191.2687.25	112R99.1010098.2198.2110098.2010052R94.1298.0494.1292.0098.0494.0098.0454R92.5994.4488.6810084.9110094.3442R97.5610095.1295.1210010095.1294R98.9198.9294.5797.8596.7797.8010058R98.2596.5596.4996.4996.4996.5598.2897R86.3297.8991.5882.8085.2679.7997.8752R98.0498.0896.1598.0898.0894.1298.0865R98.4498.4498.4698.4196.8898.41100104R90.1097.0687.2592.3191.2687.2598.02

Seventh Judicial Circuit Greene, Jersey, Macoupin, Morgan, Sangamon and Scott counties												
Recommended/ Not Recommended/ Of Office Integrity Impartiality Legal Ability Temperament Court Management Health Sensitivity												
Jennifer Ascher	126	R	88.52	93.50	86.29	90.40	91.74	95.12	98.33	95.00		
Rudolph Braud	134	R	91.73	96.18	91.79	90.91	95.45	94.66	100	97.69		
Jack Davis II	Jack Davis II 120 R 94.02 97.39 93.04 97.46 88.24 97.41 100 90.83											

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Seventh Judicial Circuit (Cont.)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Dwayne Gab	87	R	83.13	89.16	86.90	82.14	84.15	88.10	96.30	87.34
Matthew Maurer	94	R	88.76	95.65	91.30	96.74	90.00	92.39	66.83	94.38
Josh Meyer	43	R	93.02	97.62	95.35	93.02	95.35	97.62	100	97.62
Christopher Perrin	129	R	90.32	91.41	90.55	88.00	92.80	97.58	99.19	94.92
Karen Tharp	90	R	94.38	96.63	87.50	94.44	88.89	94.44	96.59	93.10
Jeff Tobin	62	R	93.22	96.67	93.10	94.92	91.38	90.16	94.23	94.64

Eighth Judicial Circuit Adams, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Mason, Menard, Pike and Schuyler counties										
	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Not Recommended Requirements Of Office Integrity Impartiality Legal Ability Temperament Management Health							
Holly Henze	41	R	68.29	77.50	70.00	80.00	65.85	72.50	65.71	79.49
Kevin Tippey	*									
Debra Wellborn	41	R	77.50	85.00	80.49	75.61	82.50	79.49	87.50	90.00
John Wooleyhan	41	R	90.24	97.56	87.80	97.56	87.80	87.80	87.50	89.74

Ninth Judicial Circuit

Fulton, Hancock, Henderson, Knox, McDonough and Warren counties

	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
James G. Baber	64	R	100	98.39	95.00	100	98.33	96.67	98.39	98.33
Nigel D. Graham	59	R	96.55	98.25	94.83	94.83	98.28	96.55	98.25	96.49
Curtis S. Lane	60	R	91.53	89.83	85.96	88.67	78.33	92.86	96.61	91.38
William A. Rasmussen	61	R	87.72	93.22	89.83	86.44	84.75	77.97	96.49	92.98

Tenth Judicial Circuit Marshall, Peoria, Putnam, Stark and Tazewell counties													
	Number of Responses	Recommended Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity			
Derek G. Asbury	101	R	96.97	98.99	97.94	95.96	98.99	98.91	100	98.94			
David A. Brown	101	R	98.84	100	97.67	97.65	96.47	97.67	100	98.80			
Daniel M. Cordis	118	R	97.37	98.28	93.91	94.69	98.29	98.26	99.13	98.21			
Timothy J. Cusack	115	R	86.61	91.89	86.73	92.92	86.84	85.71	97.22	96.36			
Sean W. Donahue	121	R	97.52	97.52	97.52	98.33	97.52	97.48	98.33	96.55			
Mark E. Gilles	125	R	98.39	100	96.80	100	96.77	99.20	100	97.56			
Suzanne L. Patton	101	R	96.94	99.01	95.96	98.02	92.00	98.00	100	96.94			
Alicia N. Washington	99	R	72.83	89.13	75.00	70.33	74.23	80.00	91.21	85.11			
Lisa Y. Wilson	133	R	98.50	100	96.97	99.24	100	98.46	100	99.24			

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Ford, Livingston, Logan, McLean and Woodford counties

		_								
	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Scott Black	81	R	97.40	100	100	92.41	100	98.72	100	98.67
Sarah Duffy	101	R	96.97	97.03	94.00	97.03	96.04	95.00	97.00	95.92
Pablo Eves	93	R	82.02	90.11	86.52	74.71	86.52	72.73	90.00	91.11
John Brian Goldrick	92	R	95.60	97.80	96.70	95.60	92.31	96.74	97.78	93.26
Scott Kording	114	R	91.89	96.46	88.50	92.11	94.59	85.71	98.20	91.82
Amy McFarland	109	R	91.59	93.52	89.81	87.04	93.52	93.46	96.23	95.19
Michael Stroh	73	R	82.86	92.96	73.97	90.14	73.61	88.73	97.06	82.09
Robert Travers	79	R	82.89	94.87	84.42	94.81	81.58	93.42	90.41	81.33
William Workman	64	R	71.67	80.95	63.93	77.78	66.13	87.30	81.97	68.33
Randy Yedinak**	55	R	83.33	86.79	81.13	92.45	90.74	94.34	92.59	84.31

Twelfth Judicial Circuit Will County Joint Poll with the Will County Bar Association												
	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity		
Brian Barrett	181	R	96.05	96.63	95.53	96.65	93.33	97.16	98.84	94.80		
Matthew Bertani	221	R	94.01	95.39	93.52	95.89	89.95	94.95	96.59	93.90		
Bennett J. Braun	184	R	91.76	93.99	91.71	93.99	91.67	86.26	95.98	93.33		

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Cont)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Victoria R. Breslan	121	R	92.56	95.83	96.64	91.67	95.00	92.50	97.44	98.31
M. Thomas Carney	168	NR	62.35	71.07	70.81	65.43	66.06	76.22	86.54	71.61
Donald W. DeWilkins	138	R	94.89	96.38	94.16	94.93	94.16	96.38	97.76	95.59
Derek W. Ewanic	160	R	94.97	96.18	94.30	93.71	96.20	96.18	99.35	94.90
Chrystel L. Gavlin	170	R	96.45	97.06	96.47	93.53	97.04	97.02	98.15	97.62
Sherri Hale	81	R	80.77	89.74	88.46	74.36	90.91	81.58	91.89	88.00
Frederick V. Harvey	123	R	95.08	95.04	93.39	92.56	92.62	98.35	98.32	96.64
James Harvey**	85	R	92.77	98.81	95.24	94.12	98.81	95.12	93.98	97.62
Elizabeth D. Hoskins Dow	200	R	94.44	97.96	93.43	95.50	88.32	97.46	96.88	96.41
Theodore J. Jarz	151	R	93.38	97.33	94.63	90.00	96.00	94.59	96.58	96.60
Victoria McKay Kennison	192	R	91.01	96.84	89.95	94.27	86.32	93.16	97.31	95.19
Cory D. Lund	193	NR	48.35	72.78	64.97	58.66	46.70	54.49	51.20	66.07
Rolonda V. Mitchell**	82	R	84.15	95.06	87.80	76.32	96.34	86.25	97.50	97.56
Raymond Alexander Nash	194	R	87.96	96.35	89.84	85.34	91.71	72.40	94.59	89.73
Domenica Ann Osterberger	202	R	81.87	90.45	83.25	86.80	75.76	87.50	96.86	88.42
John Pavich	101	R	88.78	94.90	93.81	86.73	94.85	88.78	96.91	94.90
Roger D. Rickmon	170	R	95.78	97.02	94.61	97.62	92.90	96.41	95.68	95.81
Arkadiusz Z. Smigielski	153	R	95.39	94.74	94.77	96.73	96.73	97.35	97.99	95.36
Kenneth L. Zelazo	127	R	80.49	89.60	84.13	86.40	80.00	69.05	92.17	89.08

Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Bureau, Grundy and LaSalle counties

Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
61	R	98.33	98.36	98.36	98.36	96.72	96.67	98.36	96.67
42	R	92.50	95.00	95.00	89.74	97.50	87.18	97.50	95.00
77	R	94.74	98.70	94.74	96.10	92.00	97.37	98.68	97.33
81	R	100	100	100	100	100	98.77	100	100
73	R	91.55	95.83	90.00	94.37	88.73	95.83	95.77	93.06
	51 Sundaya 61 42 77 81	Recommended/ Not Recommended61R42R77R81R	Recommended/ Not Recommended/Meets Requirements Of Office61R98.3342R92.5077R94.7481R100	See Of OfficeMeets Requirements Of OfficeIntegrity61R98.3398.3642R92.5095.0077R94.7498.7081R100100	Not Recommended/ Not Recommended Meets Requirements Of Office Integrity Impartiality 61 R 98.33 98.36 98.36 42 R 92.50 95.00 95.00 77 R 94.74 98.70 94.74 81 R 100 100 100	Not Recommended/ Not Recommended/ Meets Requirements Of Office Integrity Impartiality Legal Ability 61 R 98.33 98.36 98.36 98.36 42 R 92.50 95.00 95.00 89.74 77 R 94.74 98.70 94.74 96.10 81 R 100 100 100 100	Not Not Recommended/ Not Recommended/ Meets Requirements Of Office Integrity Impartiality Legal Ability Temperament 61 R 98.33 98.36 98.36 98.36 96.72 42 R 92.50 95.00 95.00 89.74 97.50 77 R 94.74 98.70 94.74 96.10 92.00 81 R 100 100 100 100 100	Not See Recommended/ Not Recommended Meets Requirements Of Office Integrity Impartiality Legal Ability Temperament Court Management 61 R 98.33 98.36 98.36 98.36 96.72 96.67 42 R 92.50 95.00 95.00 89.74 97.50 87.18 77 R 94.74 98.70 94.74 96.10 92.00 97.37 81 R 100 100 100 100 98.77	Big Recommended/ Not Recommended/ Not Recommended/ Not Meets Requirements Of Office Integrity Impartiality Legal Ability Temperament Court Management Health 61 R 98.33 98.36 98.36 98.36 96.72 96.677 98.36 42 R 92.50 95.00 95.00 89.74 97.50 87.18 97.50 77 R 94.74 98.70 94.74 96.10 92.00 97.37 98.68 81 R 100 100 100 100 100 98.77 100

Fourteenth Judicial Circuit

Henry, Mercer, Rock Island and Whiteside counties

										-
	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
James J. Cosby	35	R	96.97	96.97	90.91	100	96.97	100	100	96.43
Daniel P. Dalton	57	R	90.74	90.91	83.33	89.47	94.74	96.43	98.18	98.11
Matthew W. Durbin	46	R	95.56	100	97.78	93.48	100	100	97.78	97.83
Michelle S. Fitzsimmons	47	R	95.74	100	91.30	93.18	100	95.74	100	100
Derek L. Hancks	54	R	90.20	100	98.08	81.63	96.23	88.46	83.67	100
James F. Heuerman	39	R	97.37	100	86.84	100	97.44	92.31	100	100
Jennifer M. Kelly	*	R								
Theodore G. Kutsunis	59	R	93.10	98.31	96.61	94.92	98.28	93.22	92.37	96.61
Clayton R. Lee	59	R	94.92	98.25	96.55	91.07	100	94.64	100	94.64
Richard A. Zimmer	69	R	87.50	92.75	88.24	86.57	79.10	89.55	95.45	89.39

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Fifteenth Judicial Circuit

Carroll, Jo Daviess, Lee, Ogle and Stephenson counties

	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Scott L. Brinkmeier	36	R	94.44	100	97.14	94.44	97.22	97.14	100	97.14
Theresa M. Friel-Draper	*									
John D. Hay	*									
Matthew T. Klahn	30	R	86.67	86.21	89.66	89.66	82.14	92.86	93.33	86.21
David M. Olson	40	R	95.00	100	100	95.00	95.00	92.31	95.00	95.00
Anthony W. Peska**	61	R	92.98	96.61	94.92	89.83	94.92	92.98	100	98.31
John C. Redington	45	R	85.71	84.09	85.71	97.78	57.14	97.73	97.62	82.93
Glenn R. Schorsch	50	R	96.00	96.00	96.00	98.00	96.00	98.00	100	98.00

Sixteenth Judicial Circuit

Kane County

Joint Poll with the Kane County Bar Association

	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Reginald N. Campbell	182	R	94.35	98.28	96.57	91.43	97.73	95.03	98.81	97.70
Bradley P. David	194	R	98.42	100	98.44	97.87	97.37	99.47	100	99.46
Kimberly DiGiovanni	172	R	87.12	90.12	90.80	86.83	90.30	92.68	97.60	96.34
Christine A. Downs	205	R	91.00	95.05	90.55	95.05	89.11	94.55	97.96	94.97
William Engerman	139	R	88.89	93.48	87.31	94.89	87.22	97.74	96.30	91.18

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Sixteenth Judicial Circuit (Cont)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Keith A. Johnson	214	R	95.26	99.52	95.75	95.26	89.47	89.47	98.55	95.19
Kathryn Karayannnis	182	R	95.58	98.32	93.30	96.09	95.56	97.19	98.86	94.83
David P. Kliment	188	R	95.70	96.77	94.02	98.39	95.70	98.38	98.90	96.72
Marmarie J. Kostelny	175	R	96.55	98.85	97.69	97.09	98.28	98.27	99.40	97.09
Salvatore LoPiccolo Jr.	134	R	99.24	99.25	95.49	97.74	98.50	96.15	99.24	97.74
Sandra T. Parga	141	R	93.47	96.40	94.29	93.57	97.84	97.06	100	96.38
Mark Pheanis	188	R	99.46	99.47	97.87	98.92	98.93	99.46	100	100
Divya K. Sarang	165	R	82.72	94.90	87.58	74.84	87.12	86.08	98.73	92.36
Todd B. Tarter	125	R	95.15	98.39	94.35	95.12	94.35	95.08	99.18	98.32
Alice C. Tracy	137	R	89.63	97.08	84.33	94.12	78.95	92.70	98.52	91.79
Julia A. Yetter	151	R	98.68	100	98.68	98.01	98.01	99.34	100	100

Seventeenth Judicial Circuit

Boone and Winnebago counties

Joint Poll with the Winnebago and Boone County Bar Associations

	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Stephen E. Balogh	166	R	89.70	93.87	89.02	93.98	85.89	97.58	96.73	91.25
Ronald A. Barch	153	R	88.82	96.71	93.33	95.36	84.00	95.39	98.66	91.16
Erin B. Buhl	43	R	97.62	97.62	95.35	92.86	97.67	97.67	97.56	97.62
Jennifer J. Clifford	72	R	95.71	94.37	94.37	97.22	92.86	97.18	97.10	95.77

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Cont)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
John T. Gibbons	89	R	95.40	95.51	91.01	93.26	92.05	97.73	98.82	96.59
Donna R. Honzel	143	R	93.66	97.90	92.31	97.90	94.41	98.60	98.55	93.57
Francis M. Martinez	115	R	99.13	99.13	95.65	100	99.13	99.13	100	100
Philip J. Nicolos	100	R	81.05	91.92	84.69	79.80	82.00	77.32	98.94	81.63
Steven L. Nordquist	119	R	88.24	90.68	90.60	91.53	94.92	77.78	93.86	92.04
Scott R. Paccagnini**	62	R	96.55	96.61	96.61	98.31	96.49	98.25	98.21	98.21
Amy K. Peterman**	44	R	79.07	93.18	93.02	75.00	88.10	88.10	85.00	95.45
Todd A. Ramlow	75	R	96.00	96.00	95.95	94.59	96.00	96.00	95.95	94.59
Debra D. Schafer	95	R	95.74	95.79	93.62	97.89	92.63	97.89	98.89	96.77
Donald P. Shriver	146	R	97.93	98.63	97.93	97.26	97.95	97.95	99.30	97.92
Ryan Swift	59	R	94.74	96.55	96.55	94.74	91.23	96.55	98.21	94.74
Tamika R. Walker	85	R	88.10	90.48	87.06	87.95	88.24	91.57	93.90	89.41
Robert "Randy" Wilt	87	R	89.66	95.40	91.95	94.19	73.26	98.84	96.34	90.36

Eighteenth Judicial Circuit DuPage County Joint Poll with the DuPage County Bar Association												
Source of the burge county bar Association Source of the burge county bar Association Source of the burge of the b												
Susan L. Alvarado	268	R	89.29	95.62	90.55	91.53	89.64	92.86	97.87	95.36		
Louis B. Aranda	242	R	97.92	99.58	98.32	97.03	98.75	97.45	98.65	98.71		
Craig R. Belford	121	R	94.87	95.76	94.87	95.69	97.44	97.41	97.37	96.43		

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Eighteenth Judicial Circuit (Cont)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Leah M. Bendik	198	R	92.23	96.89	94.30	93.65	94.24	87.70	96.55	95.77
Joseph T. Bugos	245	R	99.59	99.59	99.17	98.33	99.18	98.32	99.57	99.16
Michael H. Burton	112	R	96.33	98.17	97.25	94.44	97.25	97.20	98.15	99.07
Neal W. Cerne	385	R	86.29	95.16	88.11	87.43	87.13	88.92	95.38	93.29
Bryan S. Chapman	223	R	90.37	96.76	93.09	87.96	92.24	93.61	96.65	94.58
Anthony V. Coco	199	R	97.96	97.96	96.43	97.95	95.85	97.44	98.94	96.86
Christine T. Cody	210	R	86.07	92.65	88.67	87.56	80.30	89.66	94.50	91.00
Joshua J. Dieden	274	R	94.70	95.93	92.13	94.78	84.85	92.80	96.89	93.82
Robert E. Douglas	301	R	94.59	96.99	93.94	94.95	92.93	95.95	97.10	94.48
Thomas A. Else	286	R	83.51	85.51	83.27	88.97	75.36	92.99	94.14	86.99
Michael W. Fleming	168	R	93.37	95.06	93.29	95.18	95.68	93.75	90.38	95.73
George A. Ford	166	R	96.36	96.93	97.56	96.93	96.95	97.55	97.50	96.95
Robert G. Gibson	340	R	94.64	97.35	94.07	94.97	95.85	90.72	96.18	96.34
Anne T. Hayes	303	R	96.95	97.33	95.96	96.96	95.30	97.29	97.91	97.28
Brian W. Jacobs	175	R	98.27	98.27	96.53	97.67	97.67	98.84	98.83	98.83
Angelo J. Kappas	155	R	97.35	98.68	96.03	96.67	96.69	97.37	97.96	97.96
Jeffrey S. MacKay	210	R	92.68	91.35	90.34	94.74	91.26	95.12	95.12	93.60
Paul A. Marchese	280	R	98.18	97.48	96.38	98.55	97.10	97.43	98.15	98.18
Jennifer L. Martyn	132	NR	64.00	81.89	75.78	57.14	72.87	72.58	82.76	87.29
James F. McCluskey	380	R	90.79	92.80	91.11	93.30	91.42	94.07	96.59	91.92
Alex F. McGimpsey	254	R	97.99	99.21	97.59	95.56	99.19	93.98	100	99.19
Timothy J. McJoynt	358	R	96.33	97.46	94.30	97.74	93.45	97.44	97.85	97.63

R Recommended **NR** Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Eighteenth Judicial Circuit (Cont)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Robert A. Miller	229	R	96.46	96.46	96.05	97.81	91.96	97.32	98.21	95.50
James D. Orel	313	R	85.57	93.02	86.38	89.68	76.08	94.72	96.49	90.31
Demetrios N. Panoushis	169	R	93.37	92.77	92.81	94.55	95.18	95.76	96.97	95.12
Michael W. Reidy	302	R	92.59	92.93	93.27	94.65	92.93	94.59	97.91	94.56
Maureen Riordan	172	R	88.24	85.88	91.72	89.29	89.41	91.02	94.41	92.77
Robert W. Rohm	267	R	94.70	95.45	93.16	95.09	93.61	96.62	97.27	95.33
David E. Schwartz	176	R	96.55	97.14	95.40	95.98	97.13	97.69	98.17	97 .06
Kenton J. Skarin	246	R	93.72	96.71	93.78	95.02	90.76	96.23	98.25	93.99

Nineteenth Judicial Circuit Lake County										
	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Luis A. Berrones	115	R	96.52	98.25	96.46	97.37	96.52	98.26	100	99.08
Michael B. Betar	125	R	87.90	95.93	94.31	85.00	82.40	91.80	100	95.73
Rhonda K. Bruno	93	NR	58.70	78.02	66.30	65.93	57.61	69.23	83.72	75.29
Janelle Christensen	103	R	98.06	99.02	98.06	98.04	96.12	99.03	97.96	100
Raymond Collins	100	R	88.78	91.84	87.88	88.78	87.76	81.82	94.79	88.78
Patricia L. Cornell	104	R	95.19	98.08	95.19	95.19	94.23	98.06	96.97	98.02
Stephen M. DeRue	88	R	97.73	98.85	96.59	98.86	97.73	98.86	100	96.55
Ari Fisz	85	R	95.24	96.47	92.86	95.29	83.33	94.05	96.39	95.29
Bolling W. Haxall III	64	R	95.31	100	93.75	95.31	95.24	96.77	98.33	96.77

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Nineteenth Judicial Circuit (Cont)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Daniel Jasica	88	R	98.85	98.84	97.67	98.85	97.70	97.70	98.73	98.80
Charles D. Johnson	98	R	93.75	94.90	93.81	95.92	92.86	93.81	97.94	92.71
D. Christopher Lombardo	99	R	96.97	96.97	93.88	97.98	92.93	97.88	98.97	95.96
Jacquelyn D. Melius	82	R	96.34	98.77	93.90	95.12	97.56	98.77	100	98.73
Christopher B. Morozin	75	R	98.65	98.65	96.00	97.33	97.33	98.67	98.61	98.67
Paul B. Novak	63	R	95.24	95.24	92.06	92.06	93.65	95.24	96.77	96.83
Veronica O'Malley	100	R	91.84	97.96	89.90	95.00	85.71	97.00	97.94	92.86
George T. Pappas	*									
Theodore S. Potkonjak	91	R	78.41	78.65	74.71	83.52	71.59	81.32	85.06	75.00
Helen S. Rozenberg	83	R	71.95	85.54	73.49	83.13	63.41	81.71	83.12	86.42
Stacey L. Seneczko	89	R	97.75	97.73	96.63	96.63	97.73	97.75	97.62	96.55
James K. Simonian	96	R	90.53	94.79	91.67	87.37	85.42	93.55	95.60	96.77
George D. Strickland	90	R	94.25	95.51	86.67	96.67	82.22	96.63	95.45	91.95
Donna-Jo R. Vorderstrasse	110	R	94.55	100	100	98.18	100	92.66	95.19	100

Twentieth Judicial Circuit St. Clair County										
	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Stacy L. Campbell	80	R	91.25	93.75	92.50	91.14	93.67	93.33	97.47	96.00
Thomas B. Cannady	133	R	97.73	96.97	96.24	97.73	93.13	92.25	99.21	96.83

Twentieth Judicial Circuit (Cont)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Leah A. Captain	63	R	93.44	96.77	95.00	90.48	96.77	94.83	96.77	96.72
William G. Clay IV	69	R	77.27	89.86	86.57	72.73	92.75	76.19	96.92	94.12
Julia R. Gomric	128	R	92.13	93.60	90.91	95.24	90.32	95.24	98.41	92.74
Julie K. Katz	124	R	93.55	96.75	92.68	97.54	96.75	99.18	98.32	97.52
L. Dominic Kujawa, Jr.	98	R	85.71	87.76	87.76	85.71	88.66	90.63	95.83	88.42
Elaine L. LeChien	107	R	96.23	96.26	93.46	97.20	96.26	97.14	99.03	96.04
Alana I. Mejias	78	R	94.74	92.31	92.11	94.87	93.51	94.81	97.37	96.10
Tameeka L. Purchase	74	R	93.06	94.44	93.15	87.32	94.59	94.44	98.59	95.89
Jeffrey K. Watson	111	R	92.52	94.44	91.82	94.39	94.55	93.52	98.13	94.34

Twenty-First Judicial Circuit Iroquois and Kankakee counties										
	Number of Responses	Recommende d/ Not Recommende d	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Kara M. Bartucci	*									
Brenda L. Claudio	*									
J. Imani Drew	*									
Nancy A. Nicholson	*									

Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit

McHenry County

Joint Poll with the McHenry County Bar Association

Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
130	R	90.77	92.97	90.63	93.80	88.28	96.92	95.97	88.71
126	R	99.00	98.99	97.98	98.98	94.79	98.96	100	96.91
116	R	96.55	98.28	95.69	98.28	96.55	98.26	99.12	95.58
101	R	96.04	97.03	94.06	98.02	91.00	96.04	97.00	92.86
93	R	96.74	96.77	95.70	93.55	95.70	95.70	96.74	97.83
105	R	87.38	86.54	84.31	90.38	79.41	87.50	89.00	93.14
79	R	92.21	94.87	94.81	89.61	94.81	94.87	97.40	86.05
99	R	93.81	90.82	91.84	96.91	94.90	93.75	96.88	93.81
111	R	84.47	86.92	82.08	90.83	83.33	97.27	97.20	85.71
108	R	98.13	98.13	98.11	98.13	99.07	99.06	100	98.11
	130 126 116 101 93 105 79 99 111	130 R 126 R 116 R 101 R 93 R 105 R 79 R 99 R 111 R	130R90.77126R99.00116R96.55101R96.0493R96.74105R87.3879R92.2199R93.81111R84.47	130R90.7792.97126R99.0098.99116R96.5598.28101R96.0497.0393R96.7496.77105R87.3886.5479R92.2194.8799R93.8190.82111R84.4786.92	130R90.7792.9790.63126R99.0098.9997.98116R96.5598.2895.69101R96.0497.0394.0693R96.7496.7795.70105R87.3886.5484.3179R92.2194.8794.8199R93.8190.8291.84111R84.4786.9282.08	130R90.7792.9790.6393.80126R99.0098.9997.9898.98116R96.5598.2895.6998.28101R96.0497.0394.0698.0293R96.7496.7795.7093.55105R87.3886.5484.3190.3879R92.2194.8794.8189.6199R93.8190.8291.8496.91111R84.4786.9282.0890.83	130R90.7792.9790.6393.8088.28126R99.0098.9997.9898.9894.79116R96.5598.2895.6998.2896.55101R96.0497.0394.0698.0291.0093R96.7496.7795.7093.5595.70105R87.3886.5484.3190.3879.4179R92.2194.8794.8189.6194.8199R93.8190.8291.8496.9194.90111R84.4786.9282.0890.8383.33	130R90.7792.9790.6393.8088.2896.92126R99.0098.9997.9898.9894.7998.96116R96.5598.2895.6998.2896.5598.26101R96.0497.0394.0698.0291.0096.0493R96.7496.7795.7093.5595.7095.70105R87.3886.5484.3190.3879.4187.5079R92.2194.8794.8189.6194.8194.8799R93.8190.8291.8496.9194.9093.75111R84.4786.9282.0890.8383.3397.27	130R90.7792.9790.6393.8088.2896.9295.97126R99.0098.9997.9898.9894.7998.96100116R96.5598.2895.6998.2896.5598.2699.12101R96.0497.0394.0698.0291.0096.0497.0093R96.7496.7795.7093.5595.7095.7096.74105R87.3886.5484.3190.3879.4187.5089.0079R92.2194.8794.8189.6194.8194.8797.4099R93.8190.8291.8496.9194.9093.7596.88111R84.4786.9282.0890.8383.3397.2797.20

Twenty-Third Judicial Circuit

DeKalb and Kendall counties

Joint Poll with the DeKalb and Kendall County Bar Associations

	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Sarah Gallagher Chami	49	R	93.75	100	93.88	95.83	93.88	95.74	100	95.92
Carlo D. Colosimo	35	R	88.57	94.12	91.43	88.24	90.32	93.75	94.12	91.18
Stephanie P. Klein	51	R	98.00	98.04	96.08	96.08	96.08	100	98.00	98.00

R Recommended NR Not Recommended * Insufficient number of responses ** Previously Polled (Only one poll is conducted on a judge/candidate in one year)

Twenty-Third Judicial Circuit (Cont)	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity
Jill K. Konen	45	R	97.78	97.78	97.78	97.78	100	100	100	100
Joseph R. Voiland	53	R	94.23	98.11	90.20	96.23	92.31	98.11	100	98.08

Twenty-Fourth Judicial Circuit Monroe, Perry, Randolph, and Washington counties											
	Number of Responses	Recommended/ Not Recommended	Meets Requirements Of Office	Integrity	Impartiality	Legal Ability	Temperament	Court Management	Health	Sensitivity	
Jennifer M. Becker-Roscow	40	R	89.74	97.44	97.37	89.74	97.44	97.30	97.37	97.14	
Eugene Gross	94	R	97.80	97.83	97.80	97.85	100	97.85	100	98.85	
Lucas H. Liefer	58	R	86.21	86.21	85.71	90.91	87.93	92.73	96.36	90.57	

How Judicial Advisory Polls Work

This poll of lawyers is conducted by the ISBA. ISBA attorneys in each judicial circuit (except Cook County) are mailed a ballot containing the names of every associate judge seeking reappointment in their circuit. Licensed attorneys who are not members of ISBA may request a ballot. Attorneys are asked to respond only if they have sufficient knowledge about the associate judge's qualifications for judicial office to give a fair, informed opinion. Associate judges are rated "recommended" or "not recommended" based on whether respondents agree that the associate judge "meets acceptable requirements for the office." Associate judges receiving 65 percent or more "yes" responses to that question are rated "recommended" and associate judges receiving less than 65 percent are rated "not recommended." Opinions expressed in the poll are of those attorneys who chose to respond and do not reflect the opinion of the Illinois State Bar Association or the opinion of all attorneys.

The following questions are asked.

In your opinion, with respect to this judicial office and in off-the-bench conduct affecting the judicial office, will the candidate:

Meets Requirements of Office:

(Recommendation) Considering the qualifications of the candidate, do you believe this candidate meets acceptable requirements for the office?

Integrity

Adhere to the high standards of integrity and ethical conduct required of the office?

Impartiality

Act and rule impartially and free of any predisposition or improper influence?

Legal Ability

Have adequate legal experience, knowledge, and ability?

Temperament

Exercise the judicial temperament to serve with appropriate courtesy, consideration, firmness, fairness, patience and dignity?

Court Management

Diligently and promptly attend to the duties of the office and assure the steady progress of court business.

<u>Health</u>

Have the physical, mental and emotional health, stamina and stability needed to perform judicial duties?

Sensitivity to Diversity and Bias

Conduct self and deal with others appropriately to reduce or eliminate conduct or words which manifest bias based on race, gender, national origin, religion, disability, age, sexual orientation or socio-economic status against parties, witnesses, counsel or others?

COUNTY NAME	CIRCUIT	COUNTY	CIRCUIT
Adams (Quincy)	8	Lee (Dixon)	15
Alexander (Cairo)	1	Livingston (Pontiac)	11
Bond (Greenville)	3	Logan (Lincoln)	11
Boone (Belvidere)	17	McDonough (Macomb)	9
Brown (Mt. Sterling)	8	McHenry (Woodstock)	22
Bureau (Princeton)	13	McLean (Bloomington)	11
Calhoun (Hardin)	8	Macon (Decatur)	6
Carroll (Mt. Carroll)	15	Macoupin (Carlinville)	7
Cass (Virginia)	8	Madison (Edwardsville)	3
Champaign (Úrbana)	6	Marion (Salem)	4
Christian (Taylorville)	4	Marshall (Lacon)	10
Clark (Marshall)	5	Mason (Havana)	8
Clay (Louisville)	4	Massac (Metropolis)	1
Clinton (Carlyle)	4	Menard (Petersburg)	8
Coles (Charleston)	5	Mercer (Aledo)	14
Cook (Chicago)	ČO	Monroe (Waterloo)	24
Crawford (Robinson)	2	Montgomery (Hillsboro)	4
Cumberland (Toledo)	5	Morgan (Jacksonville)	7
DeKalb (Sycamore)	23	Moultrie (Sullivan)	6
DeWitt (Clinton)	6	Ogle (Oregon)	15
Douglas (Tuscola)	6	Peoria (Peoria)	10
DuPage (Wheaton)	18	Perry (Pinckneyville)	24
Edgar (Paris)	5	Piatt (Monticello)	6
Edwards (Albion)	2	Pike (Pittsfield)	8
Effingham (Effingham)	4	Pope (Golconda)	1
Fayette (Vandalia)	4	Pulaski (Mound City)	1
Ford (Paxton)	11	Putnam (Hennepin)	10
Franklin (Benton)	2	Randolph (Chester)	24
Fulton (Lewistown)	9	Richland (Olney)	24
Gallatin (Shawneetown)	2	Rock Island (Rock Island)	14
Greene (Carrollton)		St. Clair (Belleville)	20
Grundy (Morris)	13	Saline (Harrisburg)	20
	-		7
Hamilton (McLeansboro)	2	Sangamon (Springfield)	/
Hancock (Carthage)	9	Schuyler (Rushville)	8
Hardin (Elizabethtown)	9	Scott (Winchester)	- E
Henderson (Oquawka)	9	Shelby (Shelbyville)	4 10
Henry (Cambridge)		Stark (Toulon)	
Iroquois (Watseka)	21	Stephenson (Freeport)	15
Jackson (Murphysboro)	1	Tazewell (Pekin)	10
Jasper (Newton)	4	Union (Jonesboro)	1
Jefferson (Mount Vernon)	2	Vermilion (Danville)	5
Jersey (Jerseyville)	7	Wabash (Mount Carmel)	2
JoDaviess (Galena)	15	Warren (Monmouth)	9
Johnson (Vienna)	1	Washington (Nashville)	24
Kane (Geneva)	16	Wayne (Fairfield)	2
Kankakee (Kankakee)	21	White (Carmi)	2
Kendall (Yorkville)	23	Whiteside (Morrison)	14
Knox (Galesburg)	9	Will (Joliet)	12
Lake (Waukegan)	19	Williamson (Marion)	1
LaSalle (Ottawa)	13	Winnebago (Rockford)	17
Lawrence (Lawrenceville)	2	Woodford (Eureka)	11